#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

**IGAMES ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,** 

Plaintiff,

C.A. No. 04-180 (KAJ)

v.

CHEX SERVICES, INC. and EQUITEX, INC.,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

Appendix of Exhibits To iGames Entertainment, Inc's Opposition To Chex's Motion For Summary Judgement

# Exhibit B

```
1
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
2
                                 : C.A. NO. 04-180-KAJ
3
    iGAMES ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
4
           vs.
5
    CHEX SERVICES, INC. and
    EQUITEX, INC.
6
7
    EQUITEX, INC. and CHEX
                                     C.A. NO. 04-256-KAJ
    SERVICES, INC., d/b/a
8
    FASTFUNDS
9
           vs.
10
    iGAMES ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
11
    CHEX SERVICES, INC., d/b/a : C.A. NO. 04-0885-KAJ
12
    FASTFUNDS
13
           ٧s.
14
    iGAMES ENTERTAINMENT, INC.
15
                             September 17, 2004
16
                   Oral deposition of IJAZ ANWAR, taken
17
    pursuant to notice, was held in the law offices of
    DUANE MORRIS, LLP, One Liberty Place, 39th Floor,
18
    Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, commencing at
    9:15 a.m., on the above date, before Joshua Lieberman,
19
    a Federally Approved Registered Professional Reporter
20
    and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
    Pennsylvania.
21
22
                     ESQUIRE REPORTING SERVICES
                              15th Floor
                    1835 John F. Kennedy Boulevard
23
                   Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
24
                             (215) 988-9191
```

1	A. I do not recall calculating.					
2	Q. Is it fair to say you did not					
3	calculate the number?					
4	A. On a piece of paper? I don't					
5	recall calculating the number.					
6	Q. Did you ever, prior to March 12,					
7	2004, tell Chris Wolfington or anyone at iGames					
8	that you believed an interest payment or some					
9	type of payment was due on this Note?					
10	A. I do not recall informing Chris					
11	Wolfington.					
12	Q. Or anyone at iGames?					
13	A. Or anyone at iGames.					
14	Q. And the answer was that you do					
15	not recall informing Chris Wolfington or anyone					
16	at iGames that any kind of payment was due on the					
17	Note prior to March 12, 2004?					
18	A. I do not recall that.					
19	Q. But did I state your answer					
20	correctly?					
21	A. Sorry?					
22	Q. Did I understand your answer					
23	correctly?					
24	A. Yes.					
I						

1	Q. Are you aware of anyone at Chex
2	are you aware whether anyone at Chex notified
3	Chris Wolfington or anyone at iGames prior to
4	March 12, 2004, that they believed an interest
5	payment or some type of payment was due on the
6	Note?
7	A. I do not recall right now if
8	anyone else contacted Chris Wolfington.
9	Q. Isn't it fair to say that if
10	someone contacted Chris Wolfington or anyone at
11	iGames, you would either have done it yourself or
12	you would have known about the contact?
13	A. That would be an incorrect
14	statement.
15	Q. Why would that be incorrect?
16	A. Not everything that is
17	communicated to a third party is communicated to
18	me.
19	Q. Well, we're not talking in
20	general. I'm talking about iGames. Weren't the
21	primary contact between Chex Services and iGames?
22	A. I was one of the contacts. I
23	don't know if I was the primary contact.
24	Q. Who else would have contacted

1	iGames concerning this Note?
2	A. It could have been Jim Welbourn;
3	it could have been Henry Fong; it could have been
4	our counsel.
5	Q. Jim Welbourn relies on you for
6	the accounting and the financial aspect of the
7	business, correct?
8	A. Not entirely.
9	Q. Do you know whether Jim Welbourn
10	Jim Welbourn ever read this Note prior to March
11	12, 2004?
12	A. I cannot speak on behalf of Jim.
13	I don't know.
14	Q. Did you ever show him the Note
15	prior to March 12, 2004?
16	A. I do not recall showing him the
17	Note.
18	Q. Are you aware that one of the
19	alleged breaches by iGames of the stock purchase
20	agreement was its failure to pay interest on this
21	Note when due?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. As a businessman, who negotiated
24	part of this Note who signed this Note, who

1 thought iGames owed an interest payment, correct? 2 He didn't raise breach. He raised iGames owed an 3 interest payment? 4 Α. That is correct. 5 Q. And you explained your 6 conversation. Now, you said you did not follow 7 up with Chris with that? 8 Α. I do not recall following up with 9 Chris. 10 Okay. At any point before Q. 11 terminating or calling in that Note, did anyone 12 in your organization tell Chris that a payment 13 was due and tell him what the amount they thought 14 was due? 15 I don't recall the amount due, 16 because I believe, and again I would have to 17 refer back to the Note, there's a provision that either the interest or profits from Available 18 19 Money are due, one of the two. So to ask for 20 interest payment without receiving the P&N or the 21 calculation from Chris Wolfington on the 22 Available Money financial statements would be, I 23 think, premature. 24 Q. And how would Chris, Mr.

1 Wolfington, receive the money on the note? January 6th; is that correct? 2 3 Α. As I recall, the funds were -- I 4 don't remember. I think the funds were wired 5 directly into Available Money -- not Available 6 Money -- Available Money or the previous owners 7 of Available Money's banknote. 8 Ο. Okay. 9 Yes, actually that is correct. We had instructions to send "X" amount to one 10 11 owner and wire amount to one owner. 12 Do you believe it was done on 0. January 6, 2004, that wiring? 1.3 14 I can't recall the date. As per 15 the Note, yes. But when was it actually sent? 16 would have to look at the records of the bank 17 statements to determine that. 18 Well, the Note was actually Q. 19 signed sometime later and then dated January 6th, 20 correct? 21 Α. That is correct. 22 And wasn't it dated January 6th Q. 23 because that's when the money was transferred? 24 Α. I would make an assumption. For

	]
1	a fact, I don't know.
2	Q. You'd agree it was on or about
3	January 6th?
4	A. I believe so, yes.
5	Q. All right. So then you said they
6	would have to wait for Available Money in
7	order to calculate what was due, you'd have to
8	wait for Available Money's profits and loss
9	statement?
10	A. I believe the Note says that
11	fifty percent I would have to read the Note.
12	Q. Go ahead.
13	A. Just give me a second.
14	Q. You know the paragraph?
15	A. Yes, the interest section.
16	Q. You're referring to iGames-1,
17	correct?
18	A. That is correct. Yes, the note
19	asks or requires them to pay fifty percent of the
20	operating income of the borrower's Available
21	Money subsidiary for the period ending February
22	1st, 2004.
23	Just to clarify my position, I
24	believe one of the reasons we did not ask for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

#### Ijaz Anwar

payment during the month of February was the financial statements from Available Money, the calculations from Fifth Third Bank come for the month of February sometime during March. they did not have the basis to make a payment, it would be irrelevant to ask for the payment. So basically from your own 0. practices Available Money or iGames wouldn't know or be able to calculate that payment without having the financials, and in your organization that's not done until March 25th or approximately that time period? Α. I believe so. So January's financial statements would have or should have, I don't know exactly when they did at iGames, arrived sometime in February, in February and March, I would assume. I don't know exact dates, how Fifth Third and Available Money provided the financial statements. Doesn't that Note provide for one payment for the time period before February 1st and not a separate payment for January? MR. PORETTI: Objection. Vaque.

At least I don't read in the Note

1	that they can substitute the February payment or					
2	the January payment. Maybe I'm reading it					
3	incorrectly.					
4	Q. Could you tell me where in that					
5	Note it says there is a January payment or when					
6	it's due or how to calculate it?					
7	MR. PORETTI: Objection.					
8	Compound.					
9	BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:					
10	Q. Explain to me the basis for					
11	saying there's a January payment due.					
12	A. Funds were given on January 6th,					
13	somewhere around January 6th.					
14	Q. And the Note then was negotiated					
15	and signed somewhere around January 21st,					
16	correct?					
17	A. That would be correct. I believe					
18	so. I know it's dated January 6th and it was					
19	subsequently negotiated or signed and then dated					
20	for January 6th.					
21	Q. In fact, this is sent, the fax					
22	says January 21, '04. We could later maybe					
23	establish that a little better. Okay?					
24	A. Yes.					

1 All right. So the January 21st Q. 2 Note is signed in terms of settled? 3 I don't know the exact date, but 4 it was signed after January 6th. Yes, sometime after January 6th. It was not signed on January 5 6 6th. .7 All right. Then I was asking if . Q. you would read that Note and give me the basis 8 for your statement that there was some type of 9 10 payment due for January. 11 MR. PORETTI: I object in that the 12 document speaks for itself, but go ahead 13 and answer. 14 It does not refer to a Α. 15 calculation of interest for the month of January. 16 Q. It combines January and -- tell 17 me, Mr. Anwar, when the first --18 For the period ending February 19 1st, when it says the period ending February 1st, 20 it's really referring to January, because the period ending February 1st entails the month of 21 22 January. 23 Q. Tell me when that payment was due 24 and how you would calculate it. You don't have

1 to give me exact numbers. You can just tell me. 2 Α. When was the payment due for the 3 month of January? 4 Q. Hm-hmm. 5 It doesn't specify a date, but it Α. 6 says in addition, in lieu of interest, the 7 borrower shall, on a monthly basis, pay to the lender and amount equal to fifty percent of the 8 9 operating income of borrower's Available Money, 10 Inc. subsidiary, Available Money. 11 So when it says on a monthly basis 12 and you're talking about the month of January, 13 ending February 1st, if you say monthly basis, it 14 would be during the month of February that they 15 would have to pay the interest for the month of 16 fifty percent profits for the month of January. 17 How would iGames during the month 0. 18 of February, having closed on this January 21st 19 and having just closed on Available Money, known 20 what to pay you? 21 I won't be able to answer that 22 question. That's iGames and Available Money's 23 internal accounting and workings. 24 Didn't you explain that when Mr. Q.

Welbourn told you, questioned you about this, you 1 2 said it wasn't worth talking to Chris because he 3 wouldn't know what was due until the end of 4 March? 5 I did not tell that to Mr. Α. 6 Wolfington. I said that I did not approach 7 Chris, I believe, because -- first of all, I said I don't recall, but now that I'm thinking about 8 it, I think the reason I did not approach Chris 9 10 was because the financial statements for the month of January would have come to Available 11 12 Money, being in the same business, sometime 13 middle or end of February. So there's no way he could have 14 Q. 15 figured out the interest payment until when? 16 Α. Well, that would depend on when he got the financial statements of Available Money. Tell me what you think when the Q. first payment was due on their Note. I think the first payment was due Α. on the Note when they received the financial statements of Available Money for the month of January during the month of February.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	Q. Did you ever ask iGames, before
2	the termination letter was sent, whether they had
3	received those receivables? What did you say?
4	A. Financials.
5	Q. Financials, sorry.
6	A. I don't recall asking him.
7	Q. At any time prior to March 12th
8	when the termination letter was sent, did you ask
9	Chris Wolfington or anyone at iGames for the
10	payment?
11	A. We had numerous conversations
12	about a lot of things. I don't recall asking.
13	It would be highly unlikely if I did not ask, but
14	I don't recall sitting here asking.
15	Q. Well, isn't that an important
16	thing? If you're going to terminate a several
17	million-dollar deal, some valued at sixty
18	million, because of failure to make a payment,
19	wouldn't you ask the person for the payment
20	first?
21	A. That is correct, we would ask for
22	the payment first.
23	Q. And do you recall asking for the
24	payment?

1	A. I, as an individual, do not
2	recall asking, as I said.
3	Q. Are you aware of anyone else in
4	your organization asking?
5	A. In my organization, I don't
6	recall. I'm not aware of anybody asking.
7	Q. And did you ever put into writing
8	when the payment was due and how much was due in
9	order to establish iGames was in breach?
10	A. I think the Note speaks for when
11	the payment is due.
12	Q. I'm asking you, as a business
13	man, as chief financial officer of Chex, did you
14	ever put in writing this is the date the payment
15	was due on the Note; this is how much it was for?
16	A. I did not put that in writing
17	because the payment was due as fifty percent of
18	profits which are not under my control from
19	Available Money.
20	Q. Did iGames ever front money for
21	the CNIGA game show for Chex?
22	A. IGames did not front money for
23	Chex for the CNIGA show.
24	Q. Well, did Chex owe money to

1	February that iGames should have made an interest
2	payment when you yourself didn't know what the
3	number would have been?
4	A. Yes, I do believe that iGames
5	should have made a payment, not an interest
6	payment, a payment for the fifty percent of the
7	profits, yes.
8	Q. In February they should have
9	paid, written a check in February for payment of
10	fifty percent of profits for Available Money?
11	A. Yes. The funds were given in
12	January, on January 6th, two million dollars, and
13	there's a cost involved for those funds. So we
14	did believe that for the month of January they
15	should have given us some kind of compensation
16	for the funds that we lent them in the month of
17	February.
18	Q. Some token amount?
19	A. I wouldn't say token amount.
20	Some true basis of calculation and not a token
21	amount.
22	Q. But you were going to leave up to
23	them how much and when it was supposed to be
24	made?

1	A. No, the Note asks for fifty
2	percent of the profits for Available Money for
3	the month ending February 1st. So when they
4	would have received the financial statements for
5	Available Money for the month of January, that
6	would have determined the amount owed.
7	Q. If I told you they received the
8	financials on February 27th, would you think that
9	was reasonable?
10	A. That would be reasonable.
11	Q. So the first earliest possible
12	date for iGames with that assumption would have
13	been February 27, 2004, if they immediately made
14	the calculations once they received the
15	financials?
16	A. That is correct. Can I get some
17	water, please?
18	Q. Yes, sure.
19	MR. BEAUSOLEIL: You want to take
20	a break?
21	MR. PORETTI: Sure.
22	(Whereupon a comfort recess
23	was taken 10:15 a.m.)
24	(Whereupon the testimony resumed

1	at 10:22 a.m.)
2	MR. PORETTI: Jim, I think Mr.
3	Anwar needs to clarify an answer. He
4	misunderstood the question about where
5	the idea of the loan on Available Money
6	came from. So if you want to give him a
7	chance to fix that, you'll probably save
8	some confusion.
9	BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
10	Q. You want to offer an explanation?
11	A. Sure. We were asked to provide
12	financing and then we consented to providing
13	financing.
14	Q. Can you explain that again?
15	A. We were asked under Available
16	Money to provide the financing for the first
17	payment.
18	Q. Wait a minute. Chex or you
19	personally?
20	A. All the discussions were with
21	Henry Fong, myself and iGames together. So I
22	think we were altogether.
23	Q. Who asked you for that?
24	A. Chris Wolfington.
ı	·

72 70 A. I was responsible for all the accounting software called Great Plains. 1 1 financial operations of Chex Services as well as 2 Q. Could you just take me through I participated in the various transactions that your promotions and different titles. 3 Equitex was involved in on behalf of Chex or Chex A. I don't know the exact dates, 4 was involved in on behalf of Equitex. but I've been very fortunate. I was very 5 5 When did you first meet Chris fortunate in getting promoted. I became a senior 6 Q. 6 7 Wolfington? accountant. That was my first position from the 8 A. When was the first time I met 8 data entry or coming in as a temp. I don't know Chris Wolfington? I think I met Chris Wolfington 9 9 the dates exactly. 10 for the first time during 2001 prior to the That's fine. 10 Q. acquisition of Chex Services by Equitex. I 11 And then I was promoted to 11 A. controller, and then I was promoted to the 12 think. 12 treasurer and then once we went public or we were 13 0. And do you remember like under 13 what circumstances did you meet him? acquired by Equitex in 2001, I was promoted as a 14 14 15 A. I clearly remember. I don't CFO. At the hotel chain in Dubai, I was the 15 remember the date. Don't quote me on the date, 16 controller there. 16 please. I remember he and Jake Koldus came in 17 And you were a CFO for Equitex 17 Q. with bright yellow, orange T-shirts and they were 18 beginning -wearing khaki slacks. So they were very slick 19 19 A. For Chex. looking individuals. So that still stays in my 20 -- for Chex beginning in 2001? 20 Ο. 21 No, we were acquired in December, 21 mind. Was that some kind of event? 2001. I think sometime in 2002, March or April. 22 0. 22 And then have you had any 23 A. I was senior accountant. I was 23 promotions since that time or change in your nobody, so they just passed by and shook our 24 24 71 73 hands and that's it. So that was the first 1 position? 1 encounter with Mr. Wolfington. 2 Yes. Once a reverse merger took 2 Q. Have you gotten to know Mr. place, a reverse merger took place in March or 3 3 Wolfington over the past few years? June of this year, I was given the additional 4 4 5 A. Yes. responsibility of operations in addition to 5 Have you worked on different finance. So I'm currently the CFO and COO of 6 Q. 6 various business transactions with him? FastFunds Financial Corporation. 7 One business transaction, various 8 8 Q. FastFunds Financial Corporation. Α. And before May or June of 2004, 9 business issues, yes. 9 you were CFO of Chex Services, Inc. In the past, have your companies 10 10 worked together where you shared staff, like COOs doing business as FastFunds? 11 11 shared receivables and things like that? 12 12 That is correct. A. You are now CFO and COO of what? 13 A. We managed some contracts for 13 Q. MCA. And MCA, being a private entity, was owned FastFunds Financial Corporation 14 14 by Chris Wolfington. and subsidiary Chex Services. 15 15 Q. Did you at one point share some Prior to June, 2004, when you 16 16 technical people, some IT people, both Chex and were working for Chex, what did your paycheck 17 17 MCA? say? Just the name of the entity that paid you. 18 18 A. We did various projects together. 19 19 A. Chex Services, Inc. Have you ever gone by any other 20 I'm sure we shared employees back and forth. We 20 were managing contracts on behalf of MCA. So we names other than Ijaz Anwar? 21 21

may have helped them and they may have helped us.

Q. Has Chris Wolfington always

operated with integrity, as far as you're

22

23

24

22

23

A.

Q.

as CFO of Chex?

What were your responsibilities

			<del></del>
	. 74		76
1	concerned?	1	question. I don't know what Chris Wolfington
2	A. Yes.	2	would do under these circumstances.
3	Q. Has he ever lied to you?	3	Q. Well, you know what he did under
4	A. Not to my knowledge.	4	these circumstances. You were communicating with
5	MR. BEAUSOLEIL: This is going to	5	him. Do you believe if you had asked him for the
6	be iGames-2.	6	payment or told him you believed a payment was
7	(Whereupon an e-mail consisting	7	due and that if the payment was Note made, he
8	of three pages dated March 19, 2004, was	8	would be in breach, he would have made the
9	marked as iGames-2)	9	payment?
10	BY THE WITNESS:	10	MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls
11	Q. Having worked with Chris over the	11	for speculation.
12	years and having done projects, as you said,	12	A. I cannot answer that question.
13	together, do you think Chris would have knowingly	13	Q. Do you agree that you didn't give
14	breached an agreement?	14	him that opportunity?
15	MR. PORETTI: Objection.	15	A. I think the Note itself clearly
16	Speculation.	16	states when the payment is due.
17	BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:	17	Q. Well, you say it clear states
18	O. Such as the Note or the stock	18	when the payment is due and you haven't told me
19	purchase agreement?	19	yet what you thought it was due.
20	MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls	20	A. I can talk about the Note, not
21	for speculation.	21	what Chris Wolfington should have or would have
22	A. I would not answer that. I can't	22	done. The note clearly states when the payment
23	answer that question.	23	is due and if you comply with the Note.
24	Q. Do you think do you personally	24	Q. You told me that Mr. Welbourn
1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	75		77
1	75 believe that Chris would knowingly breach in	1	raised an issue?
1 2		1 2	raised an issue?  A. With me.
	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in	_	raised an issue?  A. With me.  Q. With you. If you followed
2	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris	2	raised an issue? A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you
2 3	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and	2 3	raised an issue?  A. With me.  Q. With you. If you followed
2 3 4 5	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note? MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls	2 3 4	raised an issue?  A. With me.  Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he
2 3 4	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?	2 3 4 5	raised an issue?  A. With me.  Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to
2 3 4 5 6	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6	raised an issue?  A. With me.  Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he
2 3 4 5 6 7	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note? MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believe that Chris would knowingly breach — in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue. We're going to have judges look at that. We're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due. Q. Well, that's a legal issue. We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact, his answer is his answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue. We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to look at it, your lawyer is going to look at it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact, his answer is his answer.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue.  We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to look at it, your lawyer is going to look at it. I don't need to look at the Note.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact, his answer is his answer.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. I asked you if you personally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue.  We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to look at it, your lawyer is going to look at it. I don't need to look at the Note.  I'm asking you, this is my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact, his answer is his answer.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. I asked you if you personally believe that Chris Wolfington would knowingly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue. We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to look at it, your lawyer is going to look at it. I don't need to look at the Note.  I'm asking you, this is my opportunity to ask you what you believe,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact, his answer is his answer.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. I asked you if you personally believe that Chris Wolfington would knowingly fail to make a payment on that Note?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue.  We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to look at it, your lawyer is going to look at it. I don't need to look at the Note.  I'm asking you, this is my opportunity to ask you what you believe, what you know, what you may have heard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe that Chris would knowingly breach in this instance we've talking about the Note and that the payment was due. Do you think Chris knowingly failed to make a payment on that Note?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. You can answer the question just based on your own knowledge and your own beliefs.  A. It calls for speculation.  Q. No, no, that's not a fair objection. He could put that on the record and preserve it, but you have to answer that.  MR. PORETTI: I think it is a fair answer. You're asking him to testify the state of mind of Mr. Wolfington. He has no basis for doing that. In fact, his answer is his answer.  BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:  Q. I asked you if you personally believe that Chris Wolfington would knowingly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. With me. Q. With you. If you followed through with Chris Wolfington and told him you believed an amount was due and if he failed to make it, he would be in breach, do you believe he would have made that payment based on your past experiences with him?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation. Lack of foundation. A. Again I would refer back to the Note which clearly states when the payment is due.  Q. Well, that's a legal issue.  We're going to have judges look at that. We're going to have a jury look at that. I'm going to look at it, your lawyer is going to look at it. I don't need to look at the Note.  I'm asking you, this is my opportunity to ask you what you believe, what you know, what you may have heard. There's all kinds of ranges of

	Tjaz	An	War
	10	2	104
1	know the dates. I don't remember the dates.	1	financial statements and provide the correct
2	Q. The first weekend of 2004?	2	amounts to both the tribe and NACS and NACSF. So
3	A. Yes, I believe.	3	it's various information.
4	Q. That's when the termination took	4	Q. Well, for checks cashed in
5	place?	5	January, how long would it take you to collect
6	A. We exited or we were asked to	6	the information and calculate the commission paid
7	leave or we discontinued our services, I think,	7	and credited to the tribe?
8	on the first Monday of 2004.	8	A. We attempted to pay by the 25th
9	Q. And how long	9	of the following month.
10	A. So I think if you backtrack from	10	A. Were you always successful?
11	there during the weekend, yes. late Friday I was	11	A. No, we were sometimes not
12	in the office. I don't know if that late Friday	12	successful. Sometimes we paid ahead of time.
13	was 2003 or 2004. But late Friday I was in the	13	Sometimes we paid on the 25th.
14	office and then we received a termination letter	14.	<u> </u>
15	from Seminal. It was faxed over to us and I was		did the Seminal contracts represent?
16	in the office and I received that letter.	16	A. As I recall, I believe it was
17		17	*
18	Q. That's when you first learned, through that fax?	18	twenty-two-and-a-half percent.
19			Q. Would you agree that that's a
20		19	significant portion of Chex's revenues?
t	Q. Did you have any warning ahead o		A. Yes, I would agree with that.
21	that fax?	21	Q. What was the reaction by the
22	A. No.	22	public over the announcement that you were
23	Q. Did you know there were problem		terminated from those contracts?
24	before you got that fax?	24	A. When you say "public," could you
	10	3	105
1	A. No, we did not know of any	1	just define exactly who you're referring to.
2	problems prior to that fax. I do not recall	2	Q. Well, we could start with the
3	knowing of any problems before that fax.	3	industry, people in the industry. What kind of
4	Q. In that contract	4	feedback, what kind of reaction did you get?
5	A. Seminal?	5	A. Because I'm on the financial
6	Q. Seminal contract, yes, were there	6	side, I'm not that involved on the day to day
7	like five casinos that you provided cash services	7.	interaction from the industry as such. So I
8	for?	8	can't really comment on the industry side. To my
9	A. Yes, that is correct.	9	knowledge, I can't recall any positive or
10	Q. Did you have to pay commissions	10	negative comment from the industry side.
11	back to the tribe?	11	Q. Well, you wouldn't expect any
12	A. We paid commission to the tribe	12	positive comment, would you?
13	as well as NACS and NACSF.	13	A. Any comment, yes.
14	Q. How did you determine the	14	Q. You would not expect positive
15	commissions?	15	comments from that termination, would you?
16	A. I don't recall the calculations.	16	A. Yes. Well, you could expect a
17	I know the NACS and NACSF commissions were a net		positive comment if somebody does not like
18	based portion of the net profit and the tribe's	18	Seminal tribe and you leave the financial
19	commission were a percentage of gross revenue.	19	services at Seminal, they would be happy at
20	Q. And what information would you	20	another tribe.
21	need from the casino or from the booths in order	21	Q. Did that happen, to your
	They were and the action the action the action the action the action that	121	SA DIU WAL HADUCH. LO YOUT
1	to calculate the commission?		44 / 1
22 23	to calculate the commission?  A. It's an accumulation of a lot of	22 23	knowledge?  A. Again I'm not involved on the

24 industry side.

24 information to tabulate or construct the

114

Let me think about that, please. 2 A.

I believe so, yes.

1

4

5

7

8

9

14

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

O. As a managing officer of Chex, as part of the management team of Chex, you understand how investors and the public would react to a significant loss of income or loss like in this case of five casinos at the same time, correct?

Generally the market place, yes. 10 A. 11 Intimately on the Equitex side, no, I would not have knowledge as to how the shareholders of 12 Equitex would directly react. 13

Q. Wdl, at the time iGames was 15 acquiring Chex, it was supposed to be a good deal for iGames. It was supposed to be actually for both companies, but it was going to make --17 18 iGames was probably traded and it was going to help. They were going to grow in the market and 19 20 the two companies would be, the two would be better than, two together would be better than 21 two independent companies, correct?

> That is correct. A.

> > Ya expected some synergies? Q.

115

Yes. A. So when iGames was in the middle of acquiring Chex and Chex lost 22.5 percent of their operating income was it?

> A. Revenue.

Revenue, wouldn't you expect a negative reaction by the public and by the investors in iGames to that news?

MR. PORETTI: Objection. It calls for speculation.

I don't know the shareholders of iGames. Generally speaking, yes, in the marketplace if a negative event takes place, yes.

Q. Didn't you initiate litigation and claim all those things, for instance, that the termination was going to harm Chex's reputation, irreparably harm their reputation?

Which litigation are you A. referring to?

> Against Cash Systems. Ο.

I'm not intimately involved. I 21 would have to look at the documents if that is 22 one of the claims. 23

Was that a concern of management

That is correct. A.

If I wrote a check in one of your 12 casinos, if I wanted to cash a hundred dollars, 13 how much would I have to write the check for? 14

The average fee, I believe it's 15 six dollars, six percent. 16

So if I wanted to cash a hundred dollars, I'd write a \$106 check?

> That is correct. A.

And if I cashed a \$106 check with 20 one of Chex's or FastFunds' booths in September, 22 2003, it bounced, how would you handle it in your 23 accounting?

If the check bounced for \$107, we

17

18

19

120 118 would write off that check or expense it in our collect it for forty-five days. And if they're 1 unsuccessful, it would go back to collection. It financial statement. 2 2 3 would go to the in-house collection. 3 So if I wrote a check for -- is Q. Q. I'm sorry, who would get it? 4 4 it 107 or 106? 5 So when the check becomes bad, so 5 Sorry? 106. A. Well just use that. So if I 6 Let's differentiate between when it gets written 6 Q. off versus when the information comes. wrote a check September 1st, 2003, for \$106, how 7 7 September 1, 2003, I'll give you long would it take before you knew it bounced if 8 8 9 I wrote a bad check? 9 a pen if you want to visualize it, September 1, 2003, I write the check; within a day so, by 10 10 A. It depends on the location. If September 3rd, you know it's insufficient funds? you have electronic versus manual, most of them 11 11 are electronic, so I would say within 48 hours. A. If it is electronic, we will know 12 12 within forty-eight hours. Electronically it is Q. So within 48 hours -- so within 13 13 insufficient funds. Then the physical check would the month of September, if I wrote it September 14 14 1st, you're going to know it's no good? probably arrive within twenty-four to forty-eight 15 15 hours after that. That is correct. 16 16 How then would that be accounted 17 Q. Okay. 17 Once the check arrives, it is 18 A. for? You said you would write it off? 18 19 given to the operations or people at the booth or 19 Hm-lmm. A. the Financial Service Center to collect the check 20 20 Q. Where would that get written off? for forty-five days, and if they're unsuccessful, Would that be when you reconciled the books late 21 21 in the following month, like the 25th of the 22 the check would go to collections. There are 23 also exceptions. following month? 23 24 That was the policy and procedure 24 A. Yes. Basically when it actually Q. 121 119 in place in September, 2003, correct? gets wherein off by the 25th or the 15th, 1 That is correct. 2 depending on where we are on closing the A. 2 And what would happen if you did financial statements for that particular month, 3 3 ultimately collect it; how would you account for you get the bank statement and you actually see 4 4 5 the debit in your bank statement and then you it? write it off in your bank statement, through your 6 A. If you ultimately collected, it 6 would go back as collection revenue. When you 7 bank statement in your financials. 7 expense it, it's bad check expense, and when you 8 8 Q. I asked you September 1, 2003, collect it, it's collection revenue. 9 because you're explaining to me under the That accounting procedure was in policies and procedures you had a place at that 10 0. 10 11 place last year? 11 time, correct? 12 That is correct. Can I get some That is correct. A. 12 A. 13 water, please? Did you understand? 13 Q. Sure. 14 0. 14 A. MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Let's take a What amount would you write off 15 15 0. five-minute break. the following month as you explained? 16 16 Well, the debit, whatever the 17 (Whereupon a comfort recess 17 was taken at 11:45 a.m.) amount of the debit is in the bank statement. So 18 18 19 (Whereupon the deposition resumed if the check was 106 and it bounced or came back 19 20 at 11:56 a.m.) 20 as NSF, we would have to expense \$106. BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Q. Would you then send that check to 21 21 collections or how would you handle that check? What I didn't ask you was did you 22 22

I've passed the CPA exam. I

ever -- are you a certified CPA?

23

24

A. It depends. Typically the check

would go back to operations. They would try to

	12		. 124
1	don't have a CPA valid license.	1 '	you?
2	Q. Have you ever had one?	2	A. That would be the auditors who
3	A. No. I did not go into public	3	determine that.
4	accounting.	4	Q. You mean outside auditors?
5	Q. So after you graduated college,	5	A. Yes, independent auditors.
6	you then sat for the CPA exam?	6	Q. Do you have any role in that?
7	A. That is correct.	7	A. Setting the policies and
8	Q. Have you gone to anymore	8	procedures from the audit side of accounting, no.
9	education, any continuing education?	9	They advise us on what the GAAP requirements are
10	A. Yes, I regularly take continuing	10	for.
11	education classes and seminars just to keep up	11	Q. G-A-A-P?
12	with what's going on.	12	A. G-A-A-P.
13	Q. Is that in a particular field?	13	Q. How many times in your career
14	<ol> <li>Accounting financing.</li> </ol>	14	have you accepted a Note from a customer who
15	Q. Have you worked on any graduate	15	wrote a bad check?
16	degrees or anything?	16	A. From a customer, I don't recall.
17	A. No, I have not.	17	The Howard LeRoy and Pauline Howard would be in
18	Q. Do you have a company, like a	18	my memory the first customer.
19	written policy or procedure in place as to how to	19	Q. Where Chex ever took a Note and
20	handle bad checks?	20	exchanged it for bad checks?
21	A. From operations or finance	21	A. That is correct.
22	perspective?	22	Q. Has any one customer ever written
23	Q. I guess both.	23	more than six hundred thousand dollars in bad
24	A. Operations we do. Finance we	24	checks other than the Howards?
<u> </u>		-	
1	12	3	125
1	don't, or accounting side we don't. Operations	1	A. Well, you would have to over a
2	we do.	2	period of time or a week or a day?
3	Q. So the operations, you're talking	3	Q. Is it my understanding that they
4	about people in the cash booth?	4	wrote six hundred thousand dollars in bad checks
5	A. Yes, low the process of	15	
	* **	-	in one month?
6	collecting the check works.	6	A. That is correct.
7	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they	6 7	<ul><li>A. That is correct.</li><li>Q. Has any other customer ever done</li></ul>
7 8	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?	6 7 8	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that?
7 8 9	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we dscussed earlier.	6 7 8 9	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look
7 8 9 10	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days?	6 7 8 9	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that.
7 8 9 10 11	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we dscussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days.	6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that
7 8 9 10 11 12	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we dscussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we dscussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws? A. We deal with first-party	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That is correct.  Q. Has any other customer ever done that?  A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that.  Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we dscussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?  A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month? A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?  A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection because we're	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month? A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws? A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month? A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?  A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month? A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?  A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.  Q. But you do not have written	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month? A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no. Q. And would it be fair to say Chex
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws? A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.  Q. But you do not have written policies and procedures in the finance part of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That is correct.  Q. Has any other customer ever done that?  A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that.  Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month?  A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no.  Q. And would it be fair to say Chex has never received, other than with the Howards,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?  A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.  Q. But you do not have written	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is correct.  Q. Has any other customer ever done that?  A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that.  Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month?  A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no.  Q. And would it be fair to say Chex has never received, other than with the Howards, over a hundred thousand of bad checks from one
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws? A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.  Q. But you do not have written policies and procedures in the finance part of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That is correct.  Q. Has any other customer ever done that?  A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that.  Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month?  A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no.  Q. And would it be fair to say Chex has never received, other than with the Howards,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws? A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.  Q. But you do not have written policies and procedures in the finance part of it?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is correct.  Q. Has any other customer ever done that?  A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that.  Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month?  A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no.  Q. And would it be fair to say Chex has never received, other than with the Howards, over a hundred thousand of bad checks from one
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	collecting the check works.  Q. Do you know generally what they cover?  A. As we discussed earlier. Q. Forty-five days? A. Forty-five days. Q. Basically keeping them from violating any collection laws?  A. We deal with first-party collections, so the collection laws don't really apply to first-party collection because we're collecting checks which are written to us directly.  Q. But you do not have written policies and procedures in the finance part of it?  A. That is correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That is correct. Q. Has any other customer ever done that? A. I would have to go back and look at the transaction history to determine that. Q. Are you saying it's possible that in the years you worked at Chex, that some other customer wrote six hundred thousand in bad checks in one month? A. In bad checks? No. Checks, yes, there's a possibility. I would have to go and looking. Bad checks from one customer for six hundred thousand dollars, no. Q. And would it be fair to say Chex has never received, other than with the Howards, over a hundred thousand of bad checks from one customer in one month, a hundred thousand

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

٦	2	Ω	

129

126 that question without going back and looking in the data base or the history. Q. Well, this is the only customer 3 who ever wrote six hundred or more in bad checks; is that right? 5 A. That is correct. 6 7 Q. In one month? 8 Yes. A. Are there any other incidents 9 Q. that stick out in your mind, any other 10 significant amounts where one customer wrote that 11 many bad checks in one month? 12 13 A. In the range of six hundred? Q. Well, any item, whether it was 14 15 two hundred, whether it was three hundred, but any significant number other than this six hundred. 17 A. Yes. A significant number, well, 18 19 I guess it depends how you define "significant." 20 To my memory, you know, ten thousand, fifteen 21 thousand is what I remember the maximum amounts 22 from a particular customer sitting right here 23 today. O. And the maximum amount that a 24 127 particular customer wrote in a month? No, that went bad. 2 3

before, what's the longest it would have taken you to find out if they were manual checks? A material amount like this?

0.

Within a week. Maximum, a week. A.

Okay. So within a week of them writing checks, you're confident you knew about it?

> A. Yes.

Q. Do you know if the checks were written in August or September?

A. I don't. I don't know that sitting here right now.

Do you know how long after learning about it you got a Note from the Howards?

You would have to look at the A. date of the Note. I don't know what the date of 18 the Note is. Well, I don't know. I can't answer 19 that question, either. I don't remember the 20 21 dates.

Q. I'll show you the Note in a minute, but I wanted to see in your mind if you could remember a time frame. Was it within a

O. That went bad?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

So it could have been over a 0. couple months?

Yes. A.

Before you caught it kind of Q. thing?

10 A. Yes.

When did you first learn that the 11 Howards had written six hundred -- I think my 12 understanding is it's \$606,316; does that sound 13 right to you? 14

A. Yes, it's in the range of six 15

16 hundred thousand dollars.

Q. So when did you first learn that 17 the Howards had written over six hundred thousand 18 dollars in bad checks? 19

A. I don't remember the exact date. 20

21 If they were written during the month of

September, I would have found out immediately a 22

few days after that they wrote it. 23

Q. As we went through the time line

#### 1 week, two weeks?

A. No, the Note was executed not within a week or two weeks. It was executed longer than that. I don't know exactly when.

Q. Was it executed within a month of you finding out or more?

A. You know, I would have to -- I don't know. I'm sorry.

O. How could we find out? Would you still have the cancelled checks or something like that?

The cancelled checks, we have the cancelled checks and I believe we have presented all the communication and documentation with the Howards as well. So we should be able to determine from those documents.

#### Q. How did the six hundred thousand in bad checks come to your attention?

How did it come to our attention? We received a record from a vendor we used called Solutran, S-O-L-U-T-R-A-N, and it was an electronic report informing us of the bad checks coming back.

MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Would you mark

	130	١.	132		
1	that as a request. (Speaking to the	1	Tampa. I think so. I'm not sure.		
2	court reporter)	2	Q. Was that one of the reasons cited		
3	BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:	3	by Seminal Tribe for you losing the contracts		
4	Q. Can you just spell it for me?	4	there?		
5	A. S-O-L-U-T-R-A-N.	5	A. I do not recall that being the		
6	Q. Did it take a little while to	6	reason.		
7	negotiate the Note with the Howards?	7	Q. Did that become an issue?		
8	A. Yes.	8	A. With the tribe, no.		
9	Q. What first happened when you	9	Q. Did it affect your relationship		
10	learned from Solutran that this had happened,	10	with did that incident affect your		
11	that somebody had written six hundred thousand in	11	relationship with the tribe?		
12	bad checks? What was your next step; what did	12	A. No.		
13	you do?	13	Q. When did Chex first notify iGames		
14	A. Well, the very first thing we did	14	about this bad debt?		
15	was we called Solutran and said, "Have you made a	15	A. I believe iGames found out		
16	mistake in the report?" And eventually after a	16	through the SEC filings about this incident.		
17	few days the check copies were mailed which	17	Q. When?		
18	reverified what had happened. We communicated to	18	<ul> <li>A I do not exactly remember when.</li> </ul>		
19	the operations; asked them exactly what happened,	19	Q. Would it have been around January		
20	and there was a breakdown in the operating	20	27, 2004?		
21	policies and procedures.	21	A. The September "Q" was due in		
22	Does that answer your question?	22	November.		
23	Q. Yes. Do you know how many checks?	23	Q. What does it become public?		
24	Can you give me a ballpark?	24	A. Well, it depends if you file		
-		<del>                                     </del>			
	A Yes I this lette assessed check	١,	timely or take an extension. So I doubt because		
$\frac{1}{2}$	A. Yes. I think the average check	1	timely or take an extension. So I don't know		
2	is \$7,800 each. So you can take the 601 divided	2	exactly if he filed an extension for the		
3	by 7,800. It's in that range.	3	September "Q." So I can't exactly answer you		
4 5	Q. Was disciplinary action taken	5	when was this filed and made public.  Well generally you said it was		
1	against any employee because of this?  A. Yes, employees were written up,	6	Q. Well, generally, you said it was due in November. Do you know what part of		
6 7	A. Yes, employees were written up, and one of the directors was demoted.	7	November?		
8		8	A. By the middle of November.		
9	Q. Who is this?  A. Pam Houle, H-O-U-L-E. Not solely	9	Q. November 15th; is that right?		
10	for this, but an accumulation of events,	10	A. Yes.		
11	including what happened at Seminal, from being a	11	Q. And then you could get an		
12	director to a lower position in management. So	12	extension, though, beyond that date?		
13	there was some action taken.	13	A. Yes, ten working days.		
14	Q. Do you know who was written up?	14	Q. So if it actually was filed by		
15	A. I don't remember. I don't recall	15	November 15th, when would it become publicly		
16	the names of the employees that were written up.	16	available?		
17	Q. All the disciplinary actions were	17	A. Immediately.		
18	directed towards people in the casino level?	18	Q. What was your next step? You		
19	A. Operations.	19	said that you first took action at the booth		
20	Q. Where were the checks written,	20	level to find out what went wrong.		
21	what casinos?	21	A. I didn't take action. The		
22	A. Casino Hollywood for sure and I	22	operations.		
23	think the other one that's Seminal Casino	23	Q. As part of the management team		
1 /- '	think the other one that's seminar casino	2.5	Q. As part of the management ream		
24	Hollywood, and I believe the other casino was	24	took action?		

134 136 particular day. They were over a few days. 1 A. That's correct, yes. It wasn't more than a week, was 2 0. What was the next step reacting 2 3 3 it? to this bad debt? 4 4 The next step, as I recall, was A. No. And again, once we get the getting in touch with the Howards and asking them 5 checks, we can determine the dates. 5 Q. Who has the cancelled checks? exactly what happened and how can we resolve this 6 6 7 7 issue. A. It's there in my possession. 8 8 Did you turn or consult with the Normally the people in the booth Ο. Q. would have handled it for forty-five days, 9 local police or any authorities concerning this? 9 We consulted attorneys. We 10 correct? 10 consulted attorneys and a determination was made 11 A. That is correct. 11 that filing charges would not be the best 12 But in this case you took it 12 Ο. over? 13 13 strategy. 14 Why? 14 Q. Α. That is correct. 15 Is that because this was such a 15 Α. Because based on the consumer 0. significant debt? protection laws in Florida, you could file 16 16 charges, but it would go into litigation and 17 A. It was materially high, yes. 17 collectability, winning a case and collectability Q. And how did your conversations 18 18 is a different issue. with the Howards go? 19 19 Did you do criminal checks on 20 It went well initially. They 20 Q. were willing to give us a mortgage on their three 21 these people? 21 properties and they immediately initiated the 22 A. Did we do criminal checks on 22 process of refinancing the properties to pay back 23 these individuals? I do not recall if we did 23 the debt. And we actually took over the process 24 24 criminal checks. 137 135 Q. Well, what kind of background, of refinancing, also. So we controlled the 1 1 before you took the Note and they promised you process of refinancing their properties. 2 You said they agreed to give you 3 mortgages and a refinance, but before you did 3 that, did you do any background checks on the a Note and to give you a mortgage and you were 4 4 5 involved in the refinancing process? 5 people? We did an asset search, a very 6 Yes. 6 A. extensive asset search on the Howards as a family 7 7 0. We'll go through the documents. just to make sure they don't have any other 8 Did you investigate whether they 8 9 9 cashed those checks as part of some kind assets. 10 Did you do that before you took 10 of scheme, criminal scheme? Q. the Note? We did some analytical checks 11 11 with the casino. What we were concerned with was A. I don't remember if we did that 12 12 did they really play the money at the casino or 13 before or after. 13 So when you took the Note, did they just take the cash and not do anything 14 14 searching their background and determining their with it. So that's a step we took. The casino 15 15 assets wasn't necessary before you took the Note? was not able to determine, based on the volume 16 16 A. I did not understand that that they do, and this did not happen on one 17 17 particular day. It was over a few days, and I 18 question. 18 Well, before you took the Note, don't remember exactly how many. So they weren't 19 19 able to determine was the money actually played did you think it was important to first see 20 20 whether these people had assets and whether they in the casino or was it just taken out. 21 21

22

23

24

O. Were these checks written within

Yes, they were not on one

a few days of each other?

22

23

24

had criminal records, particularly in this kind

You lost me again. I'm sorry,

of field of hanging paper?

A.

		_	
	138	ļ	140
1	could you please repeat that question?	1	Q. Was anyone else involved in the
2	Q. You took a Note for six hundred	2	decision to date the Note September 15th?
3	thousand dollars?	3	A. No, not for the dating of the
4	<ul> <li>A. Yes. Can you tell me what the</li> </ul>	4	note, no.
5	date of the Note is, if you don't mind?	5	Q. You told me before that normally
6	Q. September 15, 2003, you took the	6	you would immediately write off a check and then
7	Note.	7	begin your collection efforts or during that time
8	A. Okay. But I think the point is	8	begin your Chex efforts. How did you handle this
9	this, if I may interrupt, I don't know when we	9	check on your books, these checks, this six
10	actually executed the Note, but it's dated the	10	hundred thousand dollars?
11	15th. That is directly linked to, I think, your	11	A. We basically booked a receivable
12	question.	12	based on the Note and the collateral that we had.
13	Q. When did you actually negotiate	13	Q. Go ahead.
14	and take the Note?	14	A. We call it receivable based on
15	A. I don't remember that date.	15	the Note and the collateral that we had to
16	Q. You just need to put it in	16	support the valuation of the Note on that
17	perspective with other events?	17	particular date.
18	A. Yes. I'm just thinking about it.	18	Q. Well, you said when you do an
19	It was not on September 15th. It was subsequent	19	accounting for that month, you would be doing
20	to that.	20	your accounting in October for the September bad
21	Q. Well, we'll go through some of	21	checks, correct?
22	these documents. But there was an asset search	22	A. That is correct.
23	done like on January 27th. Was that accomplished	23	Q. And typically you would write
24	before the Note was signed?	24	that off?
		<u> </u>	
	139		141
1	A I believe it was after. I do not	1	A. That is correct.
2	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.	2	<ul><li>A. That is correct.</li><li>Q. And here you said instead of</li></ul>
	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note	2 3	A. That is correct.  Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in
2	A. I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?	2 3 4	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books?
2 3 4 5	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of	2 3 4 5	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct.
2 3 4	A. I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A. I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the	2 3 4 5 6	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead
2 3 4 5 6 7	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note  September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of  September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements. Q. What purpose would doing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That is correct.  Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books?  A. That is correct.  Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off.  A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements.  Q. What purpose would doing that serve?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do. Isn't the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements. Q. What purpose would doing that serve? A. Classifying as a receivable?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do. Isn't the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That is correct.  Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books?  A. That is correct.  Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off.  A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements.  Q. What purpose would doing that serve?  A. Classifying as a receivable?  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you sign it. So to establish that they owed us money	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements. Q. What purpose would doing that serve? A. Classifying as a receivable? Q. Yes. A. Well, if we have the option to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do. Isn't the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you sign it. So to establish that they owed us money based on bad checks on a certain date, we dated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements. Q. What purpose would doing that serve? A. Classifying as a receivable? Q. Yes. A. Well, if we have the option to classify that as a receivable, it would obviously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you sign it. So to establish that they owed us money based on bad checks on a certain date, we dated the Note for that particular date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That is correct.  Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books?  A. That is correct.  Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off.  A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements.  Q. What purpose would doing that serve?  A. Classifying as a receivable?  Q. Yes.  A. Well, if we have the option to classify that as a receivable, it would obviously reflect less expenses on the financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you sign it. So to establish that they owed us money based on bad checks on a certain date, we dated the Note for that particular date.  Q. Did the date on the Note, did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is correct.  Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books?  A. That is correct.  Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off.  A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements.  Q. What purpose would doing that serve?  A. Classifying as a receivable?  Q. Yes.  A. Well, if we have the option to classify that as a receivable, it would obviously reflect less expenses on the financial statements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you sign it. So to establish that they owed us money based on bad checks on a certain date, we dated the Note for that particular date.  Q. Did the date on the Note, did you consider at all your transaction with iGames in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That is correct. Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books? A. That is correct. Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off. A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements. Q. What purpose would doing that serve? A. Classifying as a receivable? Q. Yes. A. Well, if we have the option to classify that as a receivable, it would obviously reflect less expenses on the financial statements. Q. Did you do that so that iGames
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I believe it was after. I do not recall.  Q. And who decided to date the Note September 15th; who picked that date?  A I think the determination of September satisfy 5th based on the dates on the checks. That would have been the logical thing to do.  Q. Whose idea was it?  A Since I was involved in negotiating the Note with them or working on the Note with them, it would have been my idea.  Q. You said it was the logical thing to do is to date the Note the day you signed it?  A No, you sign the Note the date the amount is due. Rather than the date, you sign it. So to establish that they owed us money based on bad checks on a certain date, we dated the Note for that particular date.  Q. Did the date on the Note, did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is correct.  Q. And here you said instead of doing that, you put the Note, a receivable in your books?  A. That is correct.  Q. Explain why you did that instead of writing it off.  A. The materiality of the amount based on the consultancy with the management of Equitex as well as the accountants, we made a determination that we had the option of classifying this as a receivable versus writing off the amount on the financial statements.  Q. What purpose would doing that serve?  A. Classifying as a receivable?  Q. Yes.  A. Well, if we have the option to classify that as a receivable, it would obviously reflect less expenses on the financial statements.

142 144 Note at that time. How could you 1 The overall materiality of the 1 A. justify marking it as a receivable when 2 transactions that we do over the course of a 2 all you had was discussions with the 3 particular year, this one particular incident I 3 Howards and checks marked NSF?" don't think constitutes material enough to do or 4 4 5 MR. BEAUSOLEIL: The only change classify a transaction in the manner to avoid or 5 6 was, I correct myself, it's several be concerned about what the iGames' reaction 6 checks. 7 7 would be. 8 MR. PORETTI: The same objection. You had no concern about iGames' 8 Q. reaction to the \$606,000 in bad debt? 9 I'll also add that I object on the basis 9 When we classified this Note as a 10 that it's vague. 10 BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: 11 receivable. I do not recall our concern was 11 12 O. You still have to answer. iGames' reaction. 12 13 A. Could you clarify the question O. What about the reaction of your 13 again for me? I really didn't understand it or 14 investors, was that a concern? 14 No. If you look at the overall 15 break it down, please. 15 Q. You told me normally you would bad debt expense in our financial statements and 16 16 write a check off, correct? compare this amount, our bad debt is around two 17 17 18 Yes. to three, three-and-a-half million a year. So I A. 18 And in October you're telling me 19 Q. 19 don't think this amount was significantly you did not write a check off; you did not write material to be concerned about the Note holders. 20 the six hundred thousand worth of checks off. 21 21 Q. It was significant enough to 22 Instead you marked in the books a receivable from handle it differently than any other check that 22 23 the Howards? had ever been handled by you? 23 24 In September we marked the 24 A. True. 145 143 receivable. But it was not significant enough 1 1 You marked it in September? 2 2 to hide from iGames? 3 Well, in the financial statements A. 3 When we classified that as a is for September. receivable, the purpose was not to do it because 4 4 You're doing it back for 5 how iGames would react to that particular 5 September. You're doing October 1st for 6 6 incident. 7 September. Well, in October you're doing 7 Q. your books and you said you didn't write it off, 8 A. The checks went bad in September. It is reflected in the financial statements as a 9 you noted it as a receivable? 10 receivable in September. I don't know exactly 10 Hm-hmm. Α. the date the Note was executed. 11 But you didn't have a Note at 11 Q. Well, we established it certainly that time. How could you justify marking it as a 12 12 wasn't in September, correct? receivable when all you had was discussions with 13 13 the Howards and checks marked NSF? A. I believe, yes, that probably is 14 14 15 correct, yes. MR. PORETTI: Objection. It 15 My question was then, how, if you assumes facts not in evidence. It calls 16 16 did not have a Note from the Howards, all you had 17 for speculation and lacks foundation. 17 were bad checks, --MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Can you read my 18 18 In which period? 19 question again because there's no A. 19 For the September period. objection to that question. It's not 20 Q. 20 21 Okay. A. 21 objectionable.

-- how could you put in a

receivable on your books in September when you

22

23

24

had no Note?

(Whereupon the court reporter

read back the last question as follows:)

"Question: But you didn't have a

22

23

	Ijaz	Anv	Nar
	146		148
1	A. The way the accounting GAAP	1	A. Absolutely. We got valuations
2	works, if you have established a basis to justify	2	from some independent appraisals in giving the
3	an event in the past, you can classify that Note	3	initial valuation on the properties, and based on
4	for that particular date and book a receivable,	4	those assessments we were comfortable, so were
5	because the financials for the month of September	5	the auditors, that we could record this as a
6	are not completed anyway until the end of, the	6	receivable.
7	middle of October. And the "Q" especially is	7	Q. Weren't the Howards in default of
8	filed even later that. And there's always	8	the Note a month before November 15th?
9	adjustments from the auditors, and you can go	9	A. A month before November 15th?
10	back and rectify things on a particular date from	10	Well, again it depends when the Note was
11	back and do those things. It was with the	11	executed.
1	<del>-</del>	12	Q. Okay. Weren't the Howards in
12	consent and the knowledge of the auditors.		default of the Note as after October 14, 2003?
13	Q. Okay. So you could take your	13	•
14	knowledge in October and November, if you end up	14	A. Well, if the Note was not
15	getting into November, and use that to adjust the	15	executed on October 14th, we would not know if
16	books in September, correct?	16	they were in default.
17	A. That is correct.	17	(Whereupon a document entitled
18	Q. So if you got the Note in October	18	Promissory Note dated September 15, 2003,
19	or November and dated it September 15th, you	19	was marked as iGames-3)
20	could then go on your books, note a receivable	20	BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
21	for September because you now have that Note?	21	Q. We marked iGames-3. This is a
22	A. That is correct.	22	Promissory Note it says at the top. Can you look
23	Q. You also need, in addition to the	23	at this document.
24	Note, if they believe that, you could collect on	24	(Whereupon iGames-3 was
	147		149
1	that Note?	1	handed to the witness to peruse)
2	A. That is correct.	2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	Q. So when you're doing your books	3	BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
4	for September it's going to be, by the time you	4	Q. Is that the Note that the Howards
5	complete them, around October 25th or even later,	5	signed that we've been discussing?
6	correct?	6	A. For the record, they're two
7	A. For this particular quarter, it	7	Notes. So this is the initial Note the Howards
8	was a "Q" filing, 10-Q filings, so it was even	8	signed.
9	later than October.	9	Q. This is the initial Note that the
10	Q. It was in November?	10	Howards signed. And this is dated September 15,
11	A. 15th of November or if the	11	2003, correct?
12	extension was filed subsequent to that.	12	A. That is correct.
13	Q. So you're filing at the earliest	13	Q. This is the Note we've been
14	November 15th, and on that date you need a good	14	discussing, correct?
15	faith basis to believe not only that you have a	15	A. I believe so, yes.
16	Note but that you could collect the Note?	16	Q. So can you give me, looking at
17	A. That would be a correct	17	that, any estimate of when it was actually
18	statement.	18	signed?
19	Q. You said "that would be a correct	19	A. I really cannot. Looking at this
20	statement"?	20	document, based on what was going on during 2003,
21	A. A correct statement, yes.	21	as to what date this Note was executed.
1			
22	Q. Did you have on November 15,	22	Q. You consider accepting a Note

23 like this in lieu of six hundred thousand dollars

24 worth of bad checks to be in the ordinary course

23 2003, a good faith belief that you could collect

24 six hundred thousand from the Howards?

	150		. 152
1	of business?	1	Q. And so upon signing it, they
2	A. Well, ordinary course of	2	became in default of the Note?
3	business	3	A. That would be a correct statement
4	MR. PORETTI: I'm going to object	4	if they read the Note correctly, yes.
5	to the extent it calls for a legal	5	Q. Did you do that on purpose?
6	conclusion, but you go ahead and answer	6	A. No, I did not do that on purpose.
7	it.	7	Q. Did you draft this Note?
8	A. A Note like this as in the	8	A. I believe the attorneys drafted
9	ordinary course of business, no, it would not be	9	the Note. I did not draft this Note.
10	considered in the ordinary course of business.	10	Q. What law firm drafted this note?
11	Q. The Note is dated September 15,	11	A. Well, we used two law firms;
12	2003. In the third paragraph, it says	12	started with Rider Bennett and also used a law
13	"Notwithstanding anything contained herein to the	13	firm out of Florida by the name of, I don't know,
14	contrary, on October 14, 2003, the entire	14	you have the documents, Knight.
15	outstanding principal balance, together with	15	Q. Holland Knight?
16	accrued interest and any other amounts due	16	A. Holland Knight.
17	hereunder, shall be due and payable in full." Do	17	Q. Whose idea was it to take a Note
18	you know in reading that, does that refresh your	18	on this debt?
19	recollection as to when the Note was actually	19	A. The management collectively
20	signed as opposed to dated?	20	discussed and we collectively decided, consulted
21	A. It does not.	21	with the accountants and decided to pursue
22	Q. It does not?	22	collectability of the debt through a Note and
23	A. No.	23	taking a security interest in the properties.
24	Q. Well, would you agree that as of	24	Q. When did the initial discussion
	151		153
1	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding	1	take place?
1 2		1 2	
	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding		take place?
2	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you agree that they had, in	2 3 4	take place?  A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at
2 3	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero	2 3 4 5	A. I don't know the exact timeframe. Definitely before the 10-Q was filed. Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?
2 3 4 5 6	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this	2 3 4 5 6	A. I don't know the exact timeframe. Definitely before the 10-Q was filed. Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt? A. Could you define "bury this
2 3 4 5 6 7	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes.  Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders, from iGames, from anyone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders, from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders, from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements clearly disclosing what has taken place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October 14th. But if they never signed it on November	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?  A. Yes, it's in the "Q."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October 14th. But if they never signed it on November 15th, it's difficult to determine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?  A. Yes, it's in the "Q."  Q. When was it first we already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October 14th. But if they never signed it on November 15th, it's difficult to determine. Q. So it's possible that you asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?  A. Yes, it's in the "Q."  Q. When was it first we already  asked that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October 14th. But if they never signed it on November 15th, it's difficult to determine. Q. So it's possible that you asked them, got them to sign this document after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?  A. Yes, it's in the "Q."  Q. When was it first we already  asked that.  You did not notify iGames about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October 14th. But if they never signed it on November 15th, it's difficult to determine. Q. So it's possible that you asked them, got them to sign this document after October 14, 2003? Is that possible?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?  A. Yes, it's in the "Q."  Q. When was it first we already  asked that.  You did not notify iGames about  it, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	October 14, 2003, the entire outstanding principal balance was not paid?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that they had, in fact, the Howards had, in fact, made zero payments as of October 14, 2003, towards this Note?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree, then, that on November 15, 2003, you at that point already knew that the Howards were in default on this Note, had not paid any money?  MR. PORETTI: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence. A. My challenge is I don't know if this Note was signed before November 15th even though it's states the payment was due on October 14th. But if they never signed it on November 15th, it's difficult to determine. Q. So it's possible that you asked them, got them to sign this document after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't know the exact timeframe.  Definitely before the 10-Q was filed.  Q. Did Henry Fong or anyone else at  Chex or Equitex tell you to bury this debt?  A. Could you define "bury this  debt"?  Q. Hide it, paper it.  A. From who?  Q. From investors, stockholders,  from iGames, from anyone.  A. It was disclosed in the filings.  I don't think anybody buried the debt. And there  was a Note in the 10-Q financial statements  clearly disclosing what has taken place.  Q. Clearly disclosing; is that your  testimony?  A. Yes, it's in the "Q."  Q. When was it first we already  asked that.  You did not notify iGames about

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 3 4 iGAMES ENTERTAINMENT,: CIVIL ACTION INC., 5 **v** . **ORIGINAL** 6 CHEX SERVICES, INC. and EQUITEX, INC. : CIVIL ACTION NO. 7 : C.A. 04-180-KAJ 8 December 15, 2004 9 Volume II 10 Continuation of the oral deposition of IJAZ ANWAR taken pursuant 11 to notice, was held at the law offices of 12 DUANE MORRIS, LLP, 4200 One Liberty 13 14 Place, 1650 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania beginning at 9:56 a.m., on 15 16 the above date, before Terri L. 17 Ochipinti, a Professional Reporter and 18 Commissioner of Deeds in the Commonwealth 19 of Pennsylvania. 20 21 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES 15th Floor 22 1880 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 23 (215) 988-919124

1	A. 3	These are the warrants that
2	were given to	some where given to
3	Blake Advisors	s and some were given to
4	White Box and	Pandora. So that's a Black
5	Shore valuation	on for the warrants.
6	Q. 1	It also mentions Seven
7	Ventures. Whe	en did you begin when
8	were you first	t contacted let me ask
9	you this in a	different way.
10	E	First of all, what is Seven
11	Ventures?	
12	A. 9	Seven Ventures is a shell, a
13	bulletin board	d shell, so that's what
14	Seven Ventures	s is.
15	Q. W	When did you first become
16	aware of Sever	n Ventures?
17	A. 1	I believe sometime during
18	January of 200	04.
19	Q. #	And how did you become aware
20	of that?	
21	A. W	We were approached by a
22	representative	e of not a
23	representative	e, an individual that does
24	deals by name	of Mark Savage. It could

```
have been end of December, beginning of
 1
 2
    January, in that time frame. So he
 3
    approached us and said, you know, there's
    a merchant banking forum that is
 4
    interested in gaining business and
 5
    transaction. Would you be interested in
 6
 7
    looking into it? I said, sure.
 8
           Q. And was the merchant banker,
 9
    Maroon Bells?
10
              Yes.
11
           Q. I'm going to show you what's
12
    he been marked Exhibit 96.
13
           Α.
                 Thanks.
14
                 MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Do you have
15
           that? It's a prior deposition.
16
                 MR. ROBBEN: I'm pretty sure
17
           I have it.
18
                 THE WITNESS: I got it,
19
           thanks.
20
    BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
21
           Q. Can I see what I handed you?
22
           A. An e-mail from --
23
               And the first e-mail there
           Q.
    is from Chris Larson to you?
24
```

1 Was White Box told that you Q . 2 were going to terminate the stock 3 purchase agreement before you notified 4 iGames? 5 I don't think we told White 6 Box that. I don't remember telling White 7 Box that. 8 Once White Box or -- once Q. 9 iGames was dropped from the term sheets 10 with White Box, was it understood that 11 iGames would not go through -- or was it 12 understood that Chex would not close on the November 3, 2003 stock purchase 13 14 agreement? I think, yeah, it would be 15 Α. 16 once iGames was dropped, I think it was 17 mutually understood that's why everybody 18 was working on the new merger agreement; 19 that it would be very difficult to close 20 on the signed SPA, yes. 21 Do you believe that the 22 stock purchase agreement, November 3, 23 2003 agreement was still binding, was 24 still the binding document between

```
1
    iGames, Chex and Equitex when you
 2
    terminated it?
 3
           Α.
                  Yes. It was the only
    binding agreement.
 4
 5
           Q.
                 Okay.
 6
                  (Exhibit 114 marked for
 7
           identification.)
 8
    BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
                 Were you still negotiating
 9
           Q.
10
    with Mercantile in February for money?
11
                  I am quite certain I know I
    can't pinpoint the date again, but based
12
    on the documentation you have, we
.13
14
    possibly were.
                 All right. Let me hand you
15
    Exhibit 114. Take a look at this and let
16
17
    me know if you have seen it before.
                 (Witness complies).
18
           Α.
19
                  Have now had a chance to
           0.
20
    look at Exhibit 114?
21
           Α.
                 Yes.
                 First of all, is Carey the
22
           Q.
    secretary you were trying to think of
23
24
    before, the Blake Advisors assistant or
```

1	Q. But the first two, the
2	Maroon Bells corporate documents were
3	attached to the document?
4	A. Yeah.
5	Q. Those two are attached?
6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. And this is dated January
8	15, 2004; is that right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And what is this?
11	A. This is an introductory
12	letter from Chex Services to Maroon Bells
13	Capital, and then confidential evaluation
14	and a mutual loan disclosure agreement to
15	Maroon Bell Capital. Corporate Capital
16	Management, LLC, as we talked this
17	morning, Mark Savage is with Corporate
18	Capital Management.
19	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I see
20	that. What I was looking at is e-mail
21	correspondence to Capital Management,
22	LLC. What caused you to write this
23	January 15, 2004 letter?
24	A. When Mark Savage approached

```
like any companies that you have been
 1
 2
    introduced to?
 3
           A .
                  I think they are referring
    -- the first company to is -- first one
 4
    or the second one, the only company that
 5
    I'm aware of actually -- two companies
 6
    can Pay Guard and Pay To, and I think
 7
 8
    that that's what they're referring to.
    I'm not certain. Both out of Europe.
 9
10
           Q.
                  Let me hand you Exhibit 125.
11
                  (Exhibit 125 marked for
12
           identification.)
13
    BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
14
                 Have you seen this document
           Q.
15
    before?
16
               From Rick Landry to us. I
17
    do recall receiving this document from
18
    Rick Landry.
19
                Okay. The initial e-mail
20
    down below here is from you to Rick
21
    Landry at Maroon Bells?
22
           Α.
                 Yes.
23
                 And you ask him to send you
           Q.
    information and he then sends you a list
24
```

1 discussions I've had with Henry and Jim 2 alluded to that if we have to close and liquidate Equitex that's what we would 3 4 So I don't think -- I have not heard 5 them taking a position that because of tax consequences we are not going to 6 7 close on the SPA. I personally did not 8 hear. 9 Ο. Do you know whether Equitex 10 committed to moving forward on the -- he 11 sorry. Let me ask you a new question. 12 Do you know when it was 13 finally decided that Equitex would close on the White Box financing? 14 15 When Equitex decided they 16 would close on the White Box financing? 17 I think after speaking to Chris in 18 January Equitex had the intent to move 19 forward with White Box financing. 20 don't -- I can't pin down the exact date 21 of their intent. 22 Did you participate in the Q. 23 March 3, 2003 board of directors meeting

of Equitex which was done via conference